

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 354/2013
M.A. No. 1121/2013**

M.R. Vivekananda Model School

V/s

Indus Towers Ltd. & Ors.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. G. K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present: Applicant / Appellant : Appearance not given
Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Navin Chawla & Mr. Arpit Maheshwari, Advs.
Respondent No. 4 : Ms. Y. Pallavi, Adv. for Ms. Mini Pushkarna, Adv.
Respondent No. 5 : Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv.
MoEF : Mr. Vikas Malhotra with M.P. Sahay, Adv.**

| Date and Remarks | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Item No. 3 July 1, 2014 | <p>This application filed by the Applicant's school under section 14 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 pertains to seeking a direction to the Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 for removal of the Mobile Tower. It was submitted during the course of hearing that the immediate cause for concern of the Applicant was as a result of action being taken against the Applicant's school by the Education Department for having allowed installation of mobile tower on the school building.</p> <p>It was submitted that initially the Applicant had given consent before the Respondent No. 4 (South Delhi Municipal Corporation) in favour of Respondent Nos. 1, 2, & 3 for having no objection to the installation of mobile tower on their premises. But in view of the subsequent development and action being initiated against Applicant's school, they have conveyed to the Respondent No. 4 (South Delhi Municipal Corporation) that they are desired to withdraw the consent for installation of mobile tower by Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3.</p> <p>We are of the opinion accordingly that the Respondent No. 4 (South Delhi Municipal Corporation) would be free to take a</p> |

decision on the revocation of the consent given by the Applicant with regard to installation of mobile tower by Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 in accordance with law. In case, any of the parties are aggrieved by the decision so taken by the Respondent No. 4 (South Delhi Municipal Corporation), they would be free to take recourse to any remedy that may be available to them in accordance with law.

The application accordingly stands disposed of.

....., JM
(Dalip Singh)

....., EM
(Dr. G. K. Pandey)

....., EM
(Ranjan Chatterjee)

